

ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Gerald Holliday
<ADDRESS1>8531 Grover Place
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Shreveport
<STATE>LA
<ZIP>71115
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>gerald.holliday@att.net
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Gerald Holliday
<ADDRESS1>8531 Grover Place
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Shreveport
<STATE>LA
<ZIP>71115
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>gerald.holliday@att.net
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Gerald Holliday
<ADDRESS1>8531 Grover Place
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Shreveport
<STATE>LA
<ZIP>71115
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>gerald.holliday@att.net
<TEXT>I think you people are crazy in Washington!
I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you
to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am
concerned that this proposal could make my current service
unaffordable.

I use my wireless phone on an average of once a day - and only
when I'm away from home, but under the flat fee proposal you are
considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay
the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other
words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear
the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume
residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I
don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay

less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Gerald Holliday
8531 Grover Place
Shreveport, Louisiana 71115